



The Principals' Partnership
<http://www.principalspartnership.com/>
A Program of Union Pacific Foundation

Research Brief

Self-Contained Classrooms

Question: What does the research say about the effectiveness of self-contained classrooms, for students other than handicapped students, in high schools?

Summary of Findings:

Determining the ideal academic setting in which students can be successful continues to be one of the primary goals of educators. Is there a best classroom structure in which students can be successful? Although there is research on the academic gains in the block schedule and in traditional departmentalized settings, both of which are common in secondary schools, there is little research on the effectiveness of a high school self-contained classroom. Rarely do “high school” and “self-contained classroom” appear together. It is hard to locate examples of self-contained high school classrooms. Thus, little research is available.

Departmentalized Structure

This has been the standard structure of secondary schools since they were initiated. In the 1970s, the departmentalized structure became popular even in elementary schools. It was believed this would contribute to a more successful transition to high school. The departmentalized structure would also allow educators to teach breadth and depth of a subject, rather than teach subjects with which they may lack expertise. The plus in this structure is that students are learning content from someone with a strong background in the particular subject area (Catledge-Howard, Ward, Dilworth, & Mississippi State University, 2003).

Self-Contained Structure

A self-contained classroom generally consists of one instructor who is a generalist and teaches every content area; although in elementary school settings, there are often “specials” that are taught by teachers in a particular field such as music, art and physical education. This setting is still common for students with special needs, students in alternative schools and at the elementary level. On rare occasions, students that are identified as gifted attend self-contained classes (Hayden, 2007). The pluses in this setting are that the teacher has more opportunities to learn the strengths, weaknesses and learning styles of the students; there is more flexibility in the schedule; there is a better chance for integration of the different content areas; and students have more actual time in the classroom because they are not losing time moving to another setting (Catledge-Howard, Ward, Dilworth, & Mississippi State University, 2003; McGrath & Rust, 2002).

Research on Self-Contained Structure

Although the research on the effectiveness of self-contained classrooms is minimal, it is significant.

- One study done by McGrath and Rust (2002) of fifth and sixth graders in departmentalized and self-contained classrooms found that students in self-contained classrooms made significant gains on the Tennessee Comprehensive Assessment Program (TCAP) in the total battery and language and science subtests. However there were no significant differences in the math, reading and social studies subtests (Catledge-Howard, Ward, Dilworth, & Mississippi State University, 2003; McGrath & Rust, 2002).
- A study conducted by Alspaugh and Harting found that in four out of five groups of students who transitioned from self-contained to a departmentalized structure experienced a significant decline in



The Principals' Partnership
<http://www.principalspartnership.com/>
A Program of Union Pacific Foundation

Research Brief

their reading and math scores (Catledge-Howard, Ward, Dilworth, & Mississippi State University, 2003).

- According to Piirto and Kolloff, students who have been identified as gifted and are in self-contained settings, score higher in this environment than when they are not. Both report that it may be because students are able to be themselves without fear of the social implications associated with their giftedness (Piirto, 2004).

Related Research Briefs:

- Muir, M. (2003). Teaming and achievement. Retrieved online at <http://www.principalspartnership.com/teaming.pdf>
This brief discusses team teaching and its impact on student achievement.
- Muir, M. (2006). Self-contained programs. Retrieved online at <http://www.principalspartnership.com/selfcontained.pdf>
This brief discusses self-contained classrooms in alternative school settings.

Online Resources:

- Catledge-Howard, S., Ward, C. A., Dilworth, R. E., & Mississippi State University. (2003). Classroom organizational structures and student achievement. Retrieved online <http://www.msstate.edu/dept/preps/files/ClassroomOrganizationalStructures.pdf>
This is a summary of some research conducted that examined test scores of elementary students in self-contained and departmental settings.
- Chen, G. (2009). Understanding self-contained classrooms in public schools. Retrieved online <http://www.publicschoolreview.com/articles/73>
A definition of self-contained classroom, in particular for students who have special needs and gifted is provided in this piece.
- Hayden, K. (2007). TAG and self-contained classrooms. Retrieved online from http://giftededucation.suite101.com/article.cfm/tag_and_selfcontained_classrooms
A brief description of the pluses and minuses for a self-contained classrooms for students who are gifted is provided in this article.
- McGrath, J. & Rust, J. O. (2002). Academic achievement and between-class transition time between self-contained and departmental elementary classes. Retrieved online from http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m0FCG/is_1_29/ai_84667407/
A succinct overview of research conducted by the authors regarding test scores of students in self-contained and departmental settings is reported.
- National Dropout Prevention Center/Network. (n.d.). Alternative schooling. Retrieved online http://www.dropoutprevention.org/effstrat/alternative_schooling/overview.htm
This section describes and defines alternative schools.



The Principals' Partnership
<http://www.principalspartnership.com/>
A Program of Union Pacific Foundation

Research Brief

Submitted Date: 11/14/09 By: Dr. Karen Walker Lebanon Valley College

<http://www.principalspartnership.com/>

This is provided as a service to educators by The Principals Partnership and Union Pacific Foundation, neither of which assumes any responsibility for the content of the brief or the positions taken by the authors or the Web sites or other authors whose works are included. This research brief reflects information currently available and is not the official position of The Principals Partnership or Union Pacific Foundation.

Disclaimer: All URLs listed in this site have been tested for accuracy, and contents of Web sites examined for quality, at the time of addition. Content accuracy and appropriateness, however, cannot be guaranteed over time as Web sites and their contents change constantly. The author takes no responsibility for difficulties which may result from the use of any Web site listed herein. Please notify the [Webmaster](#) if you find any dead links or inappropriate material.

Permission: You may use or download content for research or educational purposes, or for your personal, noncommercial purposes, provided you keep unchanged all copyright and other notices with them. No other use of any content is permitted. You agree that you will make only lawful use of this research brief, and will only use these briefs in compliance with all federal, state and local laws and regulations. You agree that you will make no use of the research that violates anyone else's rights, including copyright, trademark, trade secret, right of privacy, right of publicity or other rights.